**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**W.P. (S) No. 5648 of 2007**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **PETITIONER** | | Vinod Kumar Tirkey, s/o Shri Julias Tirkey, aged about 28 years, Occupation Constable, r/o village Badai Khana, P.S. Tahsil & District Jashpur Nagar (C.G) |
| | | ***Versus*** |
| **RESPONDENTS** | 1 | The State Government of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Home Affairs, Police Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.) |
| | 2 | The Director General of Police, Police Headquarters, Raipur (C.G) |
| | 3 | D.I.G. (Administration-2), Police Headquarters, Raipur (C.G). |

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

---

**Appearance:**

Shri Prakash Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, P.L., appears for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

---

**ORAL ORDER**
**(25.09.2007)**

**SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.**

Heard.

The brief facts are that the petitioner who is working as Constable was allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and after his joining in Police Headquarters, Raipur, he has been posted in District Narayanpur.

Earlier to this petition, the petitioner had filed another petition bearing W.P(S). No.4009/2007. When the said petition came up for hearing before the Single Bench of this court, it was only pressed for a direction that the representation dated 20.6.2007 (Annexure P-1) be considered by the Department on humanitarian ground expeditiously, in accordance with law on its

36

**W.P. (S) No. 5648 of 2007**

own merits, which was not opposed by learned State Counsel. It was allowed and alongwith that it was also directed that the said representation shall be disposed of within a period of two weeks and the status quo with regard to the posting of the petitioner as obtained on 20.7.2007 shall be maintained till the disposal of the representation. Accordingly, the said petition was disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that thereafter the orders on the representation have been passed on 25.7.2007 (Annexure P.6) and the representation of the petitioner has been dismissed. His submission is that looking to the personal difficulties the order may be interfered.

I have considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of this case, I do not deem it appropriate to interfere in the transfer order of the petitioner at this stage when in the first round, this court has passed an order for consideration of the representation and in pursuance of that order, the representation of the petitioner has been considered and orders have been passed by the department rejecting the representation.

This petition has no merits. The same is not worth admission and it is accordingly dismissed at the motion stage.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

/Rao/